



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/555,476

07/05/2006

Andrei Gregory Filippov

TS6405US

5096

23632 7590 07/02/2009
SHELL OIL COMPANY
P O BOX 2463
HOUSTON, TX 772522463

EXAMINER

COY, NICOLE A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3672

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/02/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/555,476	Applicant(s) FILIPPOV ET AL.	
	Examiner Nicole A. Coy	Art Unit 3672	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nicole A. Coy. (3) ____.

(2) Marcella Watkins. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mackenzie.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that the anchor in Mackenzie is incapable of moving forward by the hydraulic means provided in Mackenzie. The Examiner suggested inserting some structural limitation, such as positively recited where the hydraulic means are located with respect to the anchor. The Applicant is going to consider an amendment or filing a request for reconsideration after final.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nicole A Coy/ Examiner, Art Unit 3672	
---	--